

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/757,417 | 01/15/2004 | Kenji Ohnishi | 0020-5216P | 3381 |
| 2292 7: | 590 09/22/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 | | | DIXON, MERRICK L | |
| | CH, VA 22040-0747 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | • | | 1774 | |

DATE MAILED: 09/22/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | \. | () | | | |
|---|---|---|-----|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| | 10/757,417 | OHNISHI ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Merrick Dixon | 1774 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication apperiod for Reply | pears on the cover sheet | vith the correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) Mo a, cause the application to become | a reply be timely filed hirty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | ť | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 S | September 2004. | | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | <i>,</i> | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowa | | atters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C | D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o | or election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine | er. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc | cepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the | drawing(s) be held in abey | ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct | tion is required if the drawir | g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E | xaminer. Note the attach | ed Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document | ts have been received. ts have been received in | Application No | - | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the price | | n received in this National Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list | • | ot received | | | | |
| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies no | | | | | |
| | | Mudha | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) ∐ Interview Paper No | Summary (PTO MERRICK DIXON b(s)/Mail Date PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |
| Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-15-04;7-6-04. | | Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/757,417

Art Unit: 1774

15

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

16 Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kawai et al(6197414) alone.

The cited reference teaches the basic claimed invention including a fiber board made by bonding kenaf fibers with a thermosetting adhesive agent, resulting in the fiber board having a density of 600-900 kg/m cube and wherein the fibers have an average diameter in the range of 10-300 mm and an average length of 10-200 mm – col 3, lines 45-50; col 4, lines 1-46; col 5, lines 22-43; see entire reference. Concerning claims 7 and 2, the cited reference teaches the claimed molecular weight and and claimed limitations in col 11, lines 54-56; col 12, lines 64-67. Concerning claim 8, the cited reference teaches the claimed resistance in col 11, lines 61-66. Concerning claim 10, the cited reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 5, lines 64-66. Concerning claim 4, the reference teaches the claimed limitations in col 4, lines 27-44. concerning claim 5, the cited reference teaches the dimensions as claimed in col 5, lines 34-39; see entire reference. Concerning claims 3 and 9, it is submitted that such claimed pH, as claimed for the adhesive, would be inherent for that taught in the cited reference as it

Application/Control Number: 10/757,417

Art Unit: 1774

appears that the reference teaches identical adhesive. Likewise, the claimed bending strength would also be inherent for reasons stated above.

17

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Art Unit: 1774

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700